arXiv:1608.06256v3 [math.PR] 1 Apr 2017

On the K-sat model with large number of clauses

Dmitry Panchenko*

Abstract

We show that in the K-sat model with N variables and αN clauses, the expected ratio of the smallest number of unsatisfied clauses to the number of variables is $\alpha/2^K - \sqrt{\alpha}c_*(N)/2^K$ up to smaller order terms $o(\sqrt{\alpha})$ as $\alpha \to \infty$ uniformly in N, where $c_*(N)$ is the expected normalized maximum energy of some specific mixed p-spin spin glass model. The formula for the limit of $c_*(N)$ is well known in the theory of spin glasses.

Key words: spin glasses, p-spin models, K-sat model
AMS 2010 subject classification: 60F10, 60G15, 60K35, 82B44

1 Introduction

Let $K \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\alpha > 0$. Given $N \geq 1$, we will denote the elements of the hypercube $\{-1,+1\}^N$ by $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N)$. Consider an i.i.d. sequence of indices $(i_{j,k})_{j,k\geq 1}$ with uniform distribution on $\{1,\ldots,N\}$ and let $\pi(\alpha N)$ be an independent Poisson random variable with the mean αN. We define the K-sat Hamiltonian $H_\alpha(\sigma)$ on $\{-1,+1\}^N$ by

$$H_\alpha(\sigma) = -\sum_{j\leq \pi(\alpha N)} \prod_{k\leq K} \frac{1+\varepsilon_{j,k}\sigma_{i_{j,k}}}{2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon_{j,k}$ are random signs (symmetric $\{-1,+1\}$-valued random variables) independent over different indices (j,k) and independent of all other random variables. Each random clause

$$\prod_{k\leq K} \frac{1+\varepsilon_{j,k}\sigma_{i_{j,k}}}{2}$$

in the above sum can take values 0 or 1, depending on the values of the coordinates (Boolean variables) $\sigma_{i_{j,k}} \in \{-1,+1\}$ participating in the clause. If the value is zero, the clause is said to be satisfied and, otherwise, it is unsatisfied. In other words, the clause represents a random disjunction because it is satisfied if at least one $\sigma_{i_{j,k}} = -\varepsilon_{j,k}$. With this convention, the quantity

$$M_{N,\alpha} = \max_\sigma \frac{H_\alpha(\sigma)}{N} = -\min_\sigma \frac{-H_\alpha(\sigma)}{N} \tag{2}$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, panchenk@math.toronto.edu. Partially supported by NSERC.

represents (up to the minus sign) the smallest proportion of unsatisfied clauses over all possible assignments of σ. For any $K \geq 3$, it is expected that, up to a certain threshold, for $\alpha \leq \alpha_K$, with high probability all clauses can be satisfies and $\max_\sigma H_\alpha(\sigma) = 0$, while above this threshold with high probability all clauses can not be satisfied. The value of α_K was described precisely (in the sense of theoretical physics) by Mertens, Mézard and Zecchina in [17] on the basis of the celebrated Mézard-Parisi ansatz [18], further developed in [19]. For example, for $K = 3$ the phase transition was predicted to be at $\alpha_3 \approx 4.267$, and the large K behaviour to be

$$\alpha_K = 2^K \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \ln 2) + o_K(1). \tag{3}$$

This problem has been studied extensively in the mathematics literature, with progressively more precise results obtained in [1, 2, 6, 7], and the exact threshold for large enough K was finally determined in [10]. Describing the threshold for all $K \geq 3$ remains an open problem.

In this paper we will consider the regime of large α, in which case the proportion of unsatisfied clauses is strictly positive. Given σ, if we select a clause randomly, the probability of it being unsatisfied is $1/2^K$. It turns out that, for large α, optimal assignments are not much better than any fixed assignment and the leading term of the smallest ratio of unsatisfied clauses to the number of variables N is $\alpha/2^K$. We will show that for optimal assignments the next order correction term for large α is of the form $-c_*\sqrt{\alpha}$, where the constant $c_* = c_*(N)$ is related to the expected maximum of the specific mixed p-spin spin glass model in (4) below. This will establish the Leuzzi-Parisi formula obtained in [16] by the non-rigorous replica method. Let us consider the following mixed p-spin Hamiltonian

$$H(\sigma) = \sum_{p=1}^{K} \sqrt{\binom{K}{p} \frac{1}{N^{p-1}}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_p \leq N} g_{i_1,\ldots,i_p} \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_p}, \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients $(g_{i_1,\ldots,i_p})$ are standard Gaussian random variables independent for all $p \geq 1$ and all indices $(i_1, \ldots, i_p)$. If we consider the function

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{K} \binom{K}{p} x^p = (1+x)^K - 1 \tag{5}$$

then the covariance of the Gaussian Hamiltonian (4) is given by

$$\mathbb{E} H(\sigma^1) H(\sigma^2) = N \xi(R_{1,2}), \tag{6}$$

where

$$R_{1,2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \leq N} \sigma_i^1 \sigma_i^2 \tag{7}$$

is the overlap of configurations σ^1 and σ^2. Let us denote the normalized maximum by

$$M_N = \max_\sigma \frac{H(\sigma)}{N}. \tag{8}$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1 *For all $N \geq 1$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}M_{N,\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha}{2^K} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2^K}\mathbb{E}M_N + R(\alpha), \tag{9}$$

where $|R(\alpha)| \leq L\alpha^{1/3}$ for $\alpha \geq L$ for some absolute constant L.

Notice that the remainder term is guaranteed to be smaller than the correction term only when α is of the order $(2^K)^6$, which is way above the phase transition (3) for large K. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the interpolation technique of Guerra and Toninelli in [14] (not to be confused with another Guerra-Toninelli interpolation [12]) and is a slight modification of the argument in [9], were similar results for extremal cuts of sparse random graphs were obtained. More recent results in this direction, for example, for diluted p-spin spin glass models, can be found in [28]. Further applications of the Guerra-Toninelli interpolation [14] can be found in [15, 5].

Perhaps, the main reason why Theorem 1 is interesting is because mixed p-spins models are much better understood than diluted models and, in particular, the formula for the limit of $\mathbb{E}M_N$ is known, while previously only upper and lower bounds on the factor in front of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ were known (see Theorem 15 in [8]). This limit can be expressed as the zero temperature limit of the celebrated Parisi formula [26, 27] for the free energy of the mixed p-spin models. The first proof of the Parisi formula for mixed even p-spin models was obtained by Talagrand in [29], building upon the replica symmetry breaking interpolation method of Guerra [13]. The model we consider in (4) includes odd p-spin interaction terms and in this generality the Parisi formula was proved in [24] as a consequence of the Parisi ultrametricity hypothesis for the overlaps proved in [22] (see also [23]).

The good news is that, due to a recent breakthrough in [4] (building upon the ideas in [3]), the zero temperature limit of the Parisi formula can be expressed in a form (conjectured by Guerra) quite similar to the classical Parisi formula at positive temperature, as follows. Let $\mathscr{U}$ be the family of all nonnegative nondecreasing step functions on $[0,1]$ with finitely many jumps. For $u \in \mathscr{U}$, let $\Psi_u(t,x)$ for $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_u}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}\xi''(t)\Big(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_u}{\partial x^2} + u(t)\Big(\frac{\partial \Psi_u}{\partial x}\Big)^2\Big) \tag{10}$$

with the boundary condition $\Psi_u(1,x) = |x|$. Define

$$\mathscr{P}(u) = \Psi_u(0,0) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 t\xi''(t)u(t)\,dt. \tag{11}$$

Then Theorem 1 in [4] shows that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}M_N = \inf_{u\in\mathscr{U}} \mathscr{P}(u). \tag{12}$$

We refer to [4] for further details and turn to the proof of Theorem 1.

2 Proof of the main result

For $t \in [0,1]$, let us consider the interpolating Hamiltonian

$$H(t,\sigma) = \delta H_{\alpha(1-t)}(\sigma) + \sqrt{t}\beta H(\sigma), \tag{13}$$

where the first term $H_{\alpha(1-t)}(\sigma)$ is defined as in (1), only with α replaced by $\alpha(1-t)$, and the inverse temperature parameters $\delta > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ will be chosen later. Let

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\log\sum_{\sigma}\exp H(t,\sigma) \tag{14}$$

be the corresponding interpolating free energy. It is a well-known and straightforward calculation to compute the derivative $\varphi'(t)$ using Gaussian integration by parts for the second term and Poisson integration by parts for the first term in (13). It can be written as $\varphi'(t) = \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}$, with the two terms defined as follows. Let us denote by $\langle\cdot\rangle_t$ the average with respect to the Gibbs measure

$$G_t(\sigma) = \frac{\exp H(t,\sigma)}{\sum_\sigma \exp H(t,\sigma)}$$

corresponding to the Hamiltonian $H(t,\sigma)$, as well as the average with respect to its infinite product $G_t^{\otimes\infty}$. Taking the derivative in $\sqrt{t}$ in the second term in (13) and using standard Gaussian integration by parts (see e.g. [30] or Section 1.2 in [23]),

$$\mathrm{I} = \frac{\beta^2}{2}\Big(\xi(1) - \mathbb{E}\big\langle \xi(R_{1,2})\big\rangle_t\Big),$$

where ξ was defined in (5) and $R_{1,2}$ is the overlap in (7). To write the second term II, let us introduce the notation, for $n \geq 1$,

$$Q_{1,\ldots,n} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i\leq N}\mathrm{Av}\prod_{\ell\leq n}\frac{1+\varepsilon\sigma_i^\ell}{2}, \tag{15}$$

where Av is the average over $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ with equal weights $1/2$. For example,

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } Q_{1,2} = \frac{1+R_{1,2}}{4}. \tag{16}$$

Then, a standard argument using Poisson integration by parts (see e.g. [11], [20] or [21]) gives,

$$\mathrm{II} = \alpha\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{(1-e^{-\delta})^n}{n}\mathbb{E}\big\langle (Q_{1,\ldots,n})^K\big\rangle_t.$$

The first two terms on the right hand side are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 4^K}\mathbb{E}\big\langle (1+R_{1,2})^K\big\rangle_t \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 4^K} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 4^K}\mathbb{E}\big\langle \xi(R_{1,2})\big\rangle_t,\end{aligned}$$

and we will denote the remainder by

$$\mathrm{III} = \alpha \sum_{n\geq 3} \frac{(1-e^{-\delta})^n}{n} \mathbb{E}\big\langle (Q_{1,\dots,n})^K \big\rangle_t. \tag{17}$$

For a given α and δ, we are going to make the following choice of β,

$$\frac{\beta^2}{2} = \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 4^K}, \text{ or } \beta = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K}. \tag{18}$$

With this choice, the coefficients in front of $\mathbb{E}\langle \xi(R_{1,2})\rangle_t$ in the terms I and II cancel out and, using that $\xi(1)+1 = 2^K$, we can express

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= \frac{\beta^2}{2}\xi(1) + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 4^K} + \mathrm{III} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 2^K} + \mathrm{III}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The rest of the proof is a collection of elementary estimates. First of all, if we denote $x = 1-e^{-\delta}$ then $-\log(1-x) = \delta$ and, since $Q_{1,\dots,n} \in [0,1]$,

$$|\mathrm{III}| \leq \alpha \sum_{n\geq 3} \frac{(1-e^{-\delta})^n}{n} = \alpha\Big(-\log(1-x) - x - \frac{x^2}{2}\Big) = O(\alpha x^3) = O(\alpha\delta^3)$$

for δ small enough. Integrating (19) between 0 and 1, we get

$$\Big|\varphi(0) + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 2^K} - \varphi(1)\Big| = O(\alpha\delta^3)$$

and, dividing both sides by δ,

$$\Big|\frac{1}{\delta}\varphi(0) + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K\delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 2^K\delta} - \frac{1}{\delta}\varphi(1)\Big| = O(\alpha\delta^2).$$

Next, we will need the following estimates,

$$\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\max_\sigma H(t,\sigma) \leq \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\log\sum_\sigma \exp H(t,\sigma) \leq \log 2 + \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\max_\sigma H(t,\sigma),$$

which can be obtained by keeping only the largest term in the sum $\sum_\sigma$ in the middle to get the lower bound, and replacing all 2^N terms by the largest one to get the upper bound. Using this for $t=0$ and $t=1$, we get

$$\Big|\frac{1}{\delta}\varphi(0) - \mathbb{E}M_{N,\alpha}\Big| \leq \frac{\log 2}{\delta}, \ \Big|\frac{1}{\delta}\varphi(1) - \frac{\beta}{\delta}\mathbb{E}M_N\Big| \leq \frac{\log 2}{\delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}M_{N,\alpha} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K\delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 2^K\delta} - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K\delta}\mathbb{E}M_N\Big| = O\Big(\frac{1}{\delta} + \alpha\delta^2\Big).$$

By Taylor's expansion, for δ small enough,

$$\frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K\delta}+\frac{\alpha(1-e^{-\delta})^2}{2\cdot 2^K\delta}=\frac{\alpha}{2^K}+O(\alpha\delta^2)$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}(1-e^{-\delta})}{2^K\delta}=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2^K}+O(\sqrt{\alpha\delta^2}).$$

Plugging this in the above equation,

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}M_{N,\alpha}+\frac{\alpha}{2^K}-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2^K}\mathbb{E}M_N\Big|=O\Big(\frac{1}{\delta}+\alpha\delta^2+\sqrt{\alpha\delta^2}\Big).$$

Taking $\delta=\alpha^{-1/3}$ finishes the proof.

3 Some comments

We saw in the above proof that, in order to obtain the first correction term, we could discard and roughly bound all the remainder terms in (17) and match only the second term involving the overlap $R_{1,2}$ with the corresponding Gaussian mixed p-spin model. In order to compute the limit $\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{E}M_{N,\alpha}$ precisely for any fixed α, one approach is to take the zero temperature limit of the Mézard-Parisi formula [18] for the free energy of diluted models at positive temperature and it seems that, in order to prove this formula rigorously, understanding the remainder terms is crucial. The Mézard-Parisi formula can be derived using some known results if one can figure out how to prove the key hypothesis made in [18], namely, that multi-overlaps $Q_{1,\dots,n}$ in (15) are continuous functions of the overlaps $R_{\ell,\ell'}$ for $\ell,\ell'\le n$. So far, this was shown in [25] (in some perturbative sense) only under the technical assumption that, asymptotically, the Gibbs measure of the model has finite many steps of replica symmetry breaking or, in other words, the overlap $R_{1,2}$ takes only finitely many values. Proving this hypothesis in full generality remains one of the main obstacles in understanding the K-sat and other diluted models for any fixed α.

References

[1] Achlioptas, D., Moore, C.: The asymptotic order of the random k-SAT threshold. Proc. 43rd FOCS, 779–788 (2002)

[2] Achlioptas, D., Peres, Y.: The threshold for random k-SAT is $2^k\ln 2-O(k)$. Proc. 35th STOC, 223–231 (2003)

[3] Auffinger, A., Chen, W.-K.: The Parisi formula has a unique minimizer. Comm. Math. Phys. 335, no. 3, 1429–1444 (2015)

[4] Auffinger, A., Chen, W.-K.: Parisi formula for the ground state energy in the mixed p-spin model. To appear in Ann. Probab., arXiv:1606.05335 (2016)

[5] Chen, W.-K., Panchenko, D.: Disorder chaos in some diluted spin glass models. arXiv:1703.07211 (2017)

[6] Coja-Oghlan, A., Panagiotou, K.: Going after the k-SAT threshold. Proc. 45th STOC, 705–714 (2013)

[7] Coja-Oghlan, A., Panagiotou, K.: The asymptotic k-SAT threshold. Advances in Mathematics 288, 985–1068 (2016)

[8] Coppersmith, D., Gamarnik, D., Hajiaghayi, M., Sorkin, G.B.: Random MAX SAT, random MAX CUT, and their phase transitions. Random Struct. Alg. 24, no. 4, 502–545 (2004)

[9] Dembo, A., Montanari, A., Sen, S.: Extremal cuts of sparse random graphs. arXiv: 1503.03923 (2015)

[10] Ding, J., Sly, A., Sun, N.: Proof of the satisfiability conjecture for large k. arXiv:1411.0650 (2014)

[11] Franz, S., Leone, M.: Replica bounds for optimization problems and diluted spin systems. J. Statist. Phys. 111, no. 3-4, 535–564 (2003)

[12] Guerra, F., Toninelli, F.L.: The thermodynamic limit in mean field spin glass models. Comm. Math. Phys. 230, no. 1, 71–79 (2002)

[13] Guerra, F.: Broken replica symmetry bounds in the mean field spin glass model. Comm. Math. Phys. 233, no. 1, 1–12 (2003)

[14] Guerra, F., Toninelli, F.L.: The high temperature region of the Viana-Bray diluted spin glass model. J. Statist. Phys. 115, no. 1-2, 531–555 (2004)

[15] Jagannath, A., Ko, J., Sen, S.: A connection between MAX κ-CUT and the inhomogeneous Potts spin glass in the large degree limit. arXiv:1703.03455 (2017)

[16] Leuzzi, L., Parisi, G.: The K-SAT problem in a simple limit. J. Statist. Phys. 103, no. 5, 679–695 (2001)

[17] Mertens, S., Mézard, M., Zecchina, R: Threshold values of random K-SAT from the cavity method. Random Struct. Alg. 28, 340–373 (2006).

[18] Mézard, M., Parisi, G.: The Bethe lattice spin glass revisited. Eur. Phys. J. B Condens. Matter Phys. 20, no. 2, 217–233 (2001)

[19] Mézard, M., Parisi, G., Zecchina, R.: Analytic and algorithmic solution of random satisfiability problems. Science 297, no. 5582, 812–815 (2002)

[20] Panchenko, D., Talagrand, M.: Bounds for diluted mean-fields spin glass models. Probab. Theory Related Fields 130, no. 3, 319–336 (2004)

[21] Panchenko, D.: Spin glass models from the point of view of spin distributions. Ann. of Probab. 41, no. 3A, 1315–1361 (2013)

[22] Panchenko, D.: The Parisi ultrametricity conjecture. Ann. of Math. (2) 177, no. 1, 383–393 (2013)

[23] Panchenko, D.: The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Model. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York (2013)

[24] Panchenko, D.: The Parisi formula for mixed p-spin models. Ann. of Probab. 42, no. 3, 946–958 (2014)

[25] Panchenko, D.: Structure of finite-RSB asymptotic Gibbs measures in the diluted spin glass models. J. Statist. Phys. 162, no. 1, 1–42 (2016)

[26] Parisi, G.: Infinite number of order parameters for spin-glasses. Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1754–1756 (1979)

[27] Parisi, G.: A sequence of approximate solutions to the S-K model for spin glasses. J. Phys. A 13, L-115 (1980)

[28] Sen, S.: Optimization on sparse random hypergraphs and spin glasses. arXiv:1606.02365 (2016)

[29] Talagrand, M.: The Parisi formula. Ann. of Math. (2) 163, no. 1, 221–263 (2006)

[30] Talagrand, M.: Mean-Field Models for Spin Glasses. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Vol. 54, 55. Springer-Verlag (2011)